

# Equality & Good Relations Screening Report

#### Introduction

Mid Ulster District Council has a statutory duty to screen its policies, procedures, practices/decisions. This Policy Screening Form and Report assists Council Departments to consider the likely equality and good relations impacts of the aforementioned, if any, placed upon our ratepayers, citizens, service users, staff and visitors to the district.

### Section 1 - Policy scoping

This asks the Policy Author to provide details on the policy, procedure, practice and/or decision being screened and what available evidence you have gathered to help make an assessment of the likely impact on equality of opportunity and good relations. Reference to policy within this document refers to either of the aforementioned (policy, procedure, practice, and/ or decision).

### Section 2 - Screening questions

This asks about the extent of the likely impact of the policy on groups of people within each of the Section 75 categories. Details of the groups consulted and the level of assessment of the likely impact. This includes consideration of multiple identity and issues.

### Section 3 -Screening decision

This guides the Council to reach a screening decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment (EQIA), or introduce measures to mitigate the likely impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

### **Section 4 – Monitoring**

This provides guidance to the Council on monitoring for adverse impact and broader monitoring.

#### Section 5 – Approval and authorisation

This verifies the Council's approval of a screening decision by a senior manager responsible for the policy.

# Appendix A Screening Process

### Section 1 Policy Scoping & Information

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration which sets the context and confirms the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. Scoping the policy helps to identify constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy author to work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

1. Policy Name	
Recognition of Staff Leaving/Retirement Policy	

### 2. Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

New Policy for Mid Ulster District Council

### 3. What is it trying to achieve? (aims/outcomes)

Mid Ulster District Council acknowledges the importance of recognising staff for their contribution to Council & therefore marking by way of presentation of gift voucher, small reception with refreshments & flowers for those who retire/leave the Council after 10 + years of continuous service.

The policy is intended to ensure that any such presentations are made to ensure equity of treatment with regard to recognition and reward for all staff.

4. Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?		Χ
expected to beliefit from the interided policy?	No	

If so, please explain

Policy will provide equality of opportunity to all irrespective of S75 categories. Age – those in the older age group category should benefit in that they will have longer service.

### 6. Who initiated or wrote the policy?

Organisational Development Dept

### 7. Who owns and who implements the policy?

Mid Ulster District Council

# Implementation factors

		Yes	No
Are there any factors which could contribute to/ detract from intended aim/ outcome of the policy?		Х	
If yes, are they financial?		Х	
If yes, are they legislative	ve?	Х	
If yes, Please specify	Financial: Budget restrictions (e.g. reduction in could have impact on resources (fina Legislative: Changes in legislation (e.g. removal age resulting in staff working longer)	ncial)	
Other, Please specify			

### Stakeholders

The internal and external (actual or potential) that the policy will be impacted upon

	Yes	No
Staff	X	
Service Users		
Other public sector organisations		
Voluntary/community/ trade unions		
Other, please specify		

# Others policies with a bearing on this policy

Policies	Owners
Equal Opportunities Policy	H.R. O.D. department
	·

### Available evidence

Information and available evidence (qualitative and quantitative) gathered to inform the policy under each of the Section 75 groups as identified within the Northern Ireland Act 1998.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence	e/information					
Religious belief	63.77% of the popular or were brought up in religion. Other religion population (Source: 2	a Protestant and ns comprised 690	Other Chris	tian (includin	g Christian rel	lated)	
	Religion or Religion	n brought up in		No.	%		
	Catholic	9 1		88,375	63.77		
	Protestant and Other	er Christian (inclu	ding	,			
	Christian related)			46,372	33.46		
	Other religions			690	0.5		
	None			3,153	2.28		
	Total			138,590	100		
opinion	council election in 2014 the percentage 1 <sup>st</sup> preference vote share for each of the political party/ independents is detailed bellowed along with representation (seats) or Council (Source: NISRA):						
	· ·	VA).			`	eats) o	
	Party	Votes	Percen	tage Co	uncil Seats	eats) o	
	SF	<b>Votes</b> 22,587	41.0	%	uncil Seats	eats) o	
	SF DUP	<b>Votes</b> 22,587 9,723	41.0° 17.6°	%	uncil Seats 18 8	eats) o	
	SF DUP UUP	Votes 22,587 9,723 9,573	41.0° 17.6° 17.4°	% % %	uncil Seats 18 8 7	eats) o	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP	Votes 22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600	41.0° 17.6° 17.4° 13.8°	% % % %	18 8 7 6	eats) o	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent	Votes 22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689	41.0° 17.6° 17.4° 13.8° 4.9°	% % % %	18 8 7 6	eats) o	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV	Votes 22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689 2,380	41.0° 17.6° 17.4° 13.8° 4.9° 4.3°	% % % % % 6	18 8 7 6 1	eats) o	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent	Votes 22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689	41.0° 17.6° 17.4° 13.8° 4.9° 4.3° 0.6°	%	18 8 7 6	eats) o	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP	Votes  22,587  9,723  9,573  7,600  2,689  2,380  250  195	41.0° 17.6° 17.4° 13.8° 4.9° 4.3° 0.6° 0.4°	%	### Uncil Seats  18  8  7  6  1  0  0  0		
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance	22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689 2,380 250 195  Census the ove ied as 'white'. Wi	41.00 17.60 17.40 13.80 4.99 4.39 0.69 0.49  rwhelming methin this total	% % % % % % % % % % % % % lagiority of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the lagion will be migrated indicated the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicated the lagion will be migrated in the lagion will be migrated indicated the lagion will be migrated in the lagion will be migrated	uncil Seats  18  8  7  6  1  0  0  0  population 13  ant communition 13  the number of	36,485 es,	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP  According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classif such as Polish, Lithua	22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689 2,380 250 195  Census the ove ied as 'white'. Wi	41.00 17.60 17.40 13.80 4.99 4.39 0.69 0.49  rwhelming methin this total	% % % % % % % % % % % % % lagiority of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the lagion will be migrated indicated the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicated the lagion will be migrated in the lagion will be migrated indicated the lagion will be migrated in the lagion will be migrated	uncil Seats  18  8  7  6  1  0  0  0  population 13  ant communition 13  the number of	36,485 es,	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP  According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classif such as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go	22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689 2,380 250 195  Census the ove ied as 'white'. Wi	41.00 17.60 17.40 13.80 4.99 4.39 0.69 0.49  rwhelming methin this total	% % % % % % % % % % % % % lagiority of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicate that the lagion will be migrated indicated the second control of the lagion will be migrated indicated the lagion will be migrated in the lagion will be migrated indicated the lagion will be migrated in the lagion will be migrated	uncil Seats 18 8 7 6 1 0 0 0 population 13 ant communition the number of thern Ireland in the seats	36,485 es,	
Racial group	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP  According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classif such as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go  Place of Birth Great Britain Republic of Ireland	Votes  22,587  9,723  9,573  7,600  2,689  2,380  250  195  Census the ove ied as 'white'. With anian and so forth overnment District	41.00 17.60 17.40 13.80 4.99 4.39 0.69 0.49  rwhelming methin this tota a. Statistics in the total tot	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	uncil Seats  18 8 7 6 1 0 0 0 population 13 ant communition the number of thern Ireland in the land in	36,485 es,	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP  According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classif such as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go Place of Birth Great Britain	Votes  22,587  9,723  9,573  7,600  2,689  2,380  250  195  Census the ove ied as 'white'. Wi anian and so forth overnment Distriction of the content of the	41.00 17.60 17.40 13.80 4.99 4.39 0.69 0.49  rwhelming methin this totala. Statistics in the totala.	% % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	uncil Seats  18  8  7  6  1  0  0  0  population 13  ant communition the number of thern Ireland in the search of	36,485 es,	

Other 2,280

The minority ethnic language profile within the area can serve as a possible indicator of the Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) community profile within the district. The composition of language groups in Mid Ulster LGD area is also noted from the 2011 census by NISRA as:

Main Languages of residents in Mid Ulster Council area	No.
English	125,715
Polish	2,008
Lithuanian	2,039
Portuguese	903
Irish (Gaelic)	404
Slovak	477
Russian	297
Latvia	261
Hungarian	117
Chinese	64
Tagalog/Filipino	38
Malaysian	33
Other	922

## Age

The age profile of Mid Ulster Local Government District area as at 2015 (Source, NISRA)

	Mid Ulster	Northern Ireland
Total Population	144,002	1,851,621
0-15 years	33,123	385,200
16-39 years	47,646	583,116
40-64 years	43,621	591,481
65+ years	19,612	291,824
Population Change % (2005-2015)	15.3%	7.2%

# Marital status

The below table sets out the martial status profile for Mid Ulster District Council area as extracted from results of the 2011 Census

	Mid U	Mid Ulster		rn Ireland
	No.	%	No	%
Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)	38,353	35.97	517,393	36.14
Married (Aged 16+)	54,192	50.82	680,831	47.56
In a registered same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)	62	0.06	1,243	0.09
Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)	3,369	3.16	56,911	3.98
Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+)	4,139	3.88	78,074	5.45
Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)	6,523	6.12	97,088	6.78

# Sexual orientation

No specific statistics are available from the 2011 government census for this Category and there are therefore no official statistics available in relation to persons of different sexual orientation. However, the Integrated Household Survey would include between 3% and 4% would be either gay, lesbian and/or bisexual. However, due to the nature of 'disclosure' in this area, umbrella organisations often state that the figure may be closer to 10%.

Region	Heterosexual / Straight	Gay/ Lesbian	Bisexual	Gay/ Lesbian/ Bisexual	Other	Don't know /refuse	No response
England	92.54%	1.10%	0.51%	1.61%	0.33%	4.07%	1.45%
Wales	93.93%	1.04%	0.48%	1.52%	0.45%	2.99%	1.11%
Scotland	94.65%	0.82%	0.33%	1.14%	0.26%	2.59%	1.37%
N Ireland	93.00%	0.64%	0.96%	1.60%	0.26%	3.98%	1.17%
Total	92.80%	1.06%	0.51%	1.57%	0.32%	3.89%	1.42%

Research also conducted by the HM Treasury shows that between 5%-7% of the UK population identify themselves as gay, lesbian, bisexual or 'trans' (transsexual, transgendered and transvestite) (LGBT).

# Men & women generally

The gender profile of Mid Ulster LGD is detailed as;

	Mid Ulster		Ister Northern Ireland	
	No. %		No.	%
Male	69,362	50.05	887,323	49.00
Female	69,228	49.95	923,540	51.00

### Disability

According to the 2011 NISRA census statistics 19.39% of people had a long-term health problem or disability that limited their day-to-day activities whilst 80.43% of people within the district stated their general health was either good or very good

	Mi	id Ulster	Northern Ireland	
	No. %		No.	%
Disability / long term health	26,870	19.39	374,646	20.69
No disability / long term health problem	111,720	80.61	1,436,217	79.31

In Northern Ireland the profile of persons with a disability has been reported by Disability Action as;

- More than 1 in 5 or 21% of the population have a disability
- 1 in 7 people have some form of hearing loss
- 5,000 persons use sign language British Sign Language and/or Irish Sign Language
- There are 57,000 blind persons or persons with significant impairment
- 52,000 persons with learning difficulties

### Dependants

Persons with dependents may be people who have personal responsibility for the care of a child (or children), a person with a disability, and/ or a dependent older person. The below table provides a summary with respect Mid Ulster LGD.

	Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland	
	No.	%	No.	%
Households with dependent children	18,626	38.99	238,094	33.86
Lone parent households with dependents	3,485	7.30	63,921	9.09
People providing unpaid care	12,821	10.69	231,980	11.82

Of the households in Mid Ulster Local Government District with dependent children, they can be summarised as;

- 7,407 families in households have 1 dependent child
- 6,394 families in households with two dependent children
- 5,014 families in households with three dependent children

There are 37,306 dependent children within families.

### Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	None in relation to this policy
Political opinion	None in relation to this policy
Racial group	Some minorities, for example, people who do not have English as a first language may need to have information explained or for someone to take more time to help them understand a policy.
Age	Some older people may need information explained to them or for someone to take more time to help them understand a policy or procedure.
Marital status	None in relation to this policy
Sexual orientation	None in relation to this policy
Men and women generally	None in relation to this policy
Disability	Some disabled staff may need more help to understand the policy or information in alternative formats etc
Dependants	None in relation to this policy

# Section 2 – Screening Questions

In making a decision as to carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA), the Council should consider its answers to the questions 1- 3 detailed below.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity categories, then the Council may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity, the Council should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

### In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- Potential equality impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

### In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity.

### In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity for people within the equality categories.

**Screening questions** 

1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories (minor/ major/ none)			
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? minor/major/none	
Religious belief	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None	
Political opinion	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis.	None	
Racial group	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis.	None	
Age	This policy recognises those staff with longer service which will be those in the older age group however, policy will be implemented on an equal basis across Council	None	
Marital status	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis.	None	
Sexual orientation	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis.	None	
Men and women generally	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None	
Disability	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None	
Dependants	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None	

If No, provide reasons  No  No  No  No  No  No
No No No No
No No No
No No No
No No
No
No
No
No
No
equality of opportunity tion 75 equality oting understanding?
No x Yes

If yes is concluded to Question 3, then the policy will be referred to the Council's Good Relations Working Group for consideration. The Group will consider the potential opportunities and assess if and how the overall impact of a decision/policy can better promote good relations.

# **Additional Considerations - Multiple identity**

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).
No
Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

# **Section 3 – Screening Decision**

In light of answers provided to the questions within Section 3 select one of the following with regards the policy:

		Select One
1	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - with no mitigating measures required	X
2	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - mitigating measures/ alternative policies introduced	
3	Shall be subject to an EQIA	

If 1 or 2 above (i.e. not to be subject to an EQIA) please provide details of reasons why.

No equality issues have been identified that warrant a further inspection of equality issues in relation to this policy.

If 2 above (i.e. not to subject to an EQIA) in what ways can adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.

N/A

If 3 above (i.e. shall be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons.

N/A

# **Mitigation**

When it is concluded that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you may consider mitigation to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy:

N/A

### Timetabling and prioritising

If the policy has been screened in for equality impact assessment, please answer the below to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

• On a scale of 1-3 (1 being lowest priority and 3 being highest), assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a Council's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the Council in timetabling. Details of the Council's Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the Screening Reports.

• Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

Yes	
No	X

# Section 5 - Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the Council to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development. Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

Implementation of this policy will be monitored & reviewed in line with any changes in government policy and a formal review undertaken 24 months from its effective date. Any changes made will be communicated in the Policy & Resources Committee in a timely manner.

# Section 6 - Approval and authorisation

Review of Equality Screening by:	Position/ Job Title	Date
	Corporate Learning & Development Manager	31/1/20
Approved by:	Position/ Job Title	Date
	Director of O.D.	31/1/20

**Note**: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy; made easily accessible on the council website as soon as possible following completion and be available on request.

