

Introduction

Mid Ulster District Council has a statutory duty to screen its policies, procedures, practices/decisions. This Policy Screening Form and Report assists Council Departments to consider the likely equality and good relations impacts of the aforementioned, if any, placed upon our ratepayers, citizens, service users, staff and visitors to the district.

Section 1 – Policy scoping

This asks the Policy Author to provide details on the policy, procedure, practice and/or decision being screened and what available evidence you have gathered to help make an assessment of the likely impact on equality of opportunity and good relations. Reference to policy within this document refers to either of the aforementioned (policy, procedure, practice, and/ or decision).

Section 2 – Screening questions

This asks about the extent of the likely impact of the policy on groups of people within each of the Section 75 categories. Details of the groups consulted and the level of assessment of the likely impact. This includes consideration of multiple identity and issues.

Section 3 –Screening decision

This guides the Council to reach a screening decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment (EQIA), or introduce measures to mitigate the likely impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Section 4 – Monitoring

This provides guidance to the Council on monitoring for adverse impact and broader monitoring.

Section 5 – Approval and authorisation

This verifies the Council's approval of a screening decision by a senior manager responsible for the policy.

Appendix AScreening ProcessSection 1Policy Scoping & Information

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration which sets the context and confirms the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. Scoping the policy helps to identify constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy author to work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

1.	Policy Name	
		_

Managing Bereavement in the Workplace

2. Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

New Policy for Mid Ulster District Council

3. What is it trying to achieve? (aims/outcomes)

Mid Ulster District Council acknowledges that bereavement impacts all individuals in different ways and the Council is committed to supporting staff in practical and reasonable ways. The policy sets out the minimum paid leave an employee is entitled to in different circumstances including attendance at the funeral of different relatives and where the employee has sole responsibility for making the funeral arrangements. The policy also references other leave with which the employee may supplement their bereavement leave. Managers' responsibilities are also outlined.

4. Are there any Section 75 categories which might be	Yes	Х
expected to benefit from the intended policy?	No	

If so, please explain

Policy will provide equality of opportunity to all irrespective of S75 categories

6. Who initiated or wrote the policy?

Organisational Development Dept

7. Who owns and who implements the policy?

Mid Ulster District Council

Implementation factors

		Yes	No
Are there any factors which intended aim/ outcome of t	n could contribute to/ detract from he policy?	x	
If yes, are they financia	1?	x	
If yes, are they legislativ	/e?	x	
 If yes, Please specify 	<i>Financial:</i> Budget restrictions (e.g. reduction in b could have impact on resources (finar Pandemic outbreak e.g. COVID 19 re staff requiring time off than normal <i>Legislative:</i> Changes in legislation (e.g. Brexit)	ncial & staf	fing)
Other, Please specify			

Stakeholders

The internal and external (actual or potential) that the policy will be impacted upon

	Yes	No
Staff	х	
Service Users	х	
Other public sector organisations	х	
Voluntary/community/ trade unions	х	
Other, please specify		

Others policies with a bearing on this policy

Policies	Owners
Equal Opportunities Policy	H.R. O.D. department
Managing Attendance Policy	"
Health & Safety at Work	"
Flexible Working Arrangements	"
Data Protection Policy	"
-	

Available evidence

Information and available evidence (qualitative and quantitative) gathered to inform the policy under each of the Section 75 groups as identified within the Northern Ireland Act 1998.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information						
Religious belief	63.77% of the population were brought up in the Catholic religion and 33.46% be or were brought up in a Protestant and Other Christian (including Christian relate religion. Other religions comprised 690 (0.5%) and None 3,153 (2.28%) of the population (Source: 2011 Census).						
	Religion or Religio	on brought up in	N	lo.	%		
	Catholic		88	,375	63.77		
	Protestant and Othe Christian related)	er Christian (including		,372	33.46		
	Other religions		6	90	0.5		
	None		3,	153	2.28		
	Total		138	,590	100		
	political party/ independents is detailed bellowed along with representation (Council (Source: NISRA):						
	Party	Votes	Percentage	Cou	ncil Seats	1	
	Party SF	Votes 22,587	Percentage 41.0%	Cou	ncil Seats 18]	
	SF DUP	22,587 9,723	41.0% 17.6%	Cou	18 8		
	SF DUP UUP	22,587 9,723 9,573	41.0% 17.6% 17.4%	Cou	18 8 7		
	SF DUP UUP SDLP	22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600	41.0% 17.6% 17.4% 13.8%	Cou	18 8 7 6		
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent	22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689	41.0% 17.6% 17.4% 13.8% 4.9%	Cou	18 8 7 6 1		
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV	22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689 2,380	41.0% 17.6% 17.4% 13.8% 4.9% 4.3%	Cou	18 8 7 6 1 0		
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent	22,587 9,723 9,573 7,600 2,689	41.0% 17.6% 17.4% 13.8% 4.9%	Cou	18 8 7 6 1		
Racial group	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance	22,5879,7239,5737,6002,6892,380250195195I Census the overwhanian and so forth. Sovernment District (L	41.0% 17.6% 17.4% 13.8% 4.9% 4.3% 0.6% 0.4% elming majority this total will b statistics indicat GD) born outsi	v of the p e migrar e that th de North	18 8 7 6 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	es, people	
	SF DUP UUP SDLP Independent TUV Alliance UKIP According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classif such as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go Place of Birth Great Britain Republic of Ireland	22,5879,7239,5737,6002,6892,380250195195I Census the overwhied as 'white'. Withinanian and so forth. Sovernment District (Lby white, Estonia,	41.0% 17.6% 17.4% 13.8% 4.9% 4.3% 0.6% 0.4% elming majority this total will b statistics indicat GD) born outsi Hungary, Latvia	v of the p e migrar e that th de North	18 8 7 6 1 0 <t< td=""><td>es, people</td></t<>	es, people	

	The minority ethnic language profile within the area can serve as a possible indicator of the Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) community profile within the district. The composition of language groups in Mid Ulster LGD area is also noted from the 2011 census by NISRA as:						
	Main Languages of residents in Mi	d Ulster Coun	cil area	No.			
	English			125,715			
	Polish			2,008			
	Lithuanian			2,039			
	Portuguese			903			
	Irish (Gaelic)			404			
	Slovak			477			
	Russian			297			
	Latvia			261			
	Hungarian			117			
	Chinese			64			
	Tagalog/Filipino			38			
	Malaysian			33			
	Other			922			
Age	The age profile of Mid Ulster Local Gov NISRA)	vernment Distri	ct area as	at 2015 (So	ource,		
		Mid Ulster	Northe	rn Ireland			
	Total Population	144,002	1,851,6	21			
	0-15 years	33,123	385,200)			
	16-39 years	47,646	583,116				
	40-64 years	43,621	591,481				
	65+ years	19,612	291,824				
		10,012	231,02-	T			
	Population Change % (2005-2015)	15.3%	7.2%				

Marital status		d from results o							
					lid Ulster				d
				No.			lo	%	
	registered	ver married or a same sex ci p) (Aged 16+)		38,353	35.97	7 5	17,393	36.14	
	Married (Ag	ged 16+)		54,192	50.82	2 6	80,831	47.56	
		red same sex (Aged 16+)	civil	62	0.06	1	,243	0.09	
	or still lega	l (but is still leg ally in a same s p) (Aged 16+)		d 3,369	3.16	5	6,911	3.98	
	civil partne	or formerly in a ership which is (Aged 16+)			3.88	7	8,074	5.45	
	Widowed o	r surviving par	tner from a	6,523	6.12	9	7,088	6.78	
	same sex o	ivil partnership	o (Aged 16-	-)					
Sexual orientation	Category and of different include betw due to the r	statistics are a nd there are the sexual orientat veen 3% and 4 ature of 'disclo ay be closer to	erefore no ion. Howe 4% would b osure' in thi	official sta ver, the In e either g	itistics ava itegrated F ay, lesbiar	ilable i louseh n and/c	n relati nold Su or bisex	on to pers rvey woul ual. Howe	d ever,
	Region	Heterosexual / Straight	Gay/ Lesbian	Bisexual	Gay/ Lesbian/ Bisexual	Other	Don knov /refu	w No	onse
	England	92.54%	1.10%	0.51%	1.61%	0.33%			
	Wales	93.93%	1.04%	0.48%	1.52%	0.45%			
	Scotland	94.65%	0.82%	0.33%	1.14%	0.26%			
	N Ireland	93.00%	0.64%	0.96%	1.60%	0.26%			
	Total	92.80%	1.06%	0.51%	1.57%	0.32%			
	Research a population i	lso conducted dentify themse red and transvo	by the HM lves as gay	Treasury /, lesbian,	shows that	t betw	een 5%	5-7% of th	

Womon	- 9	d Ulster LGD is	detailed as;		
women		Mid Ulster		Northern I	reland
generally	N	F	%	No.	%
			.05 8	887,323	49.00
	Female 69,2	228 49	.95 95	923,540	51.00
Disability	According to the 2011 N health problem or disabi people within the district	ility that limited t	their day-to-da	ay activities wl	hilst 80.43% of
		M	lid Ulster	North	ern Ireland
		No.	%	No.	%
	Disability / long term health	26,870	19.39	374,646	20.69
	No disability / long term health problem	111,720	80.61	1,436,217	79.31
Dependants	 There are 57,000 blind persons or persons with significant impairment 52,000 persons with learning difficulties Persons with dependents may be people who have personal responsibility for care of a child (or children), a person with a disability, and/ or a dependent of person. The below table provides a summary with respect Mid Ulster LGD. 				
	care of a child (or childre		ith a disability,	, and/ or a dep	pendent older
	care of a child (or childre	e provides a sur	ith a disability, mmary with re	, and/ or a dep spect Mid Uls	bendent older ter LGD.
	care of a child (or childre	e provides a sur	ith a disability,	, and/ or a dep spect Mid Uls	pendent older
	care of a child (or childred person. The below table Households with dependent children	e provides a sur Mid No. 18,626	ith a disability, mmary with re I UIster 8 38.99	, and/ or a dep spect Mid Uls Northe No. 238,094	rn Ireland 33.86
	care of a child (or childred person. The below tabled Households with dependent children Lone parent household with dependents	e provides a sur Mid No. 18,626 Is 3,485	ith a disability, mmary with re I Ulster %	, and/ or a dep espect Mid Uls Northe 238,094 63,921	rn Ireland 33.86 9.09
	care of a child (or childred person. The below table Households with dependent children Lone parent household	e provides a sur Mid No. 18,626 Is 3,485	ith a disability, mmary with re I UIster 8 38.99	, and/ or a dep spect Mid Uls Northe No. 238,094	rn Ireland 33.86

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	None in relation to this policy
Political opinion	None in relation to this policy
Racial group	Some minorities, for example, people who do not have English as a first language or who are not familiar with local customs and practice may need to have information explained or for someone to take more time to help them understand a policy.
Age	Some older people may need information explained to them or for someone to take more time to help them understand a policy or procedure.
Marital status	None in relation to this policy
Sexual orientation	None in relation to this policy
Men and women generally	None in relation to this policy
Disability	Some disabled staff may need more help to understand the policy or information in alternative formats etc Some disabled staff may require additional support or time off in the aftermath of experiencing a bereavement of a close relative, particularly if they were dependant on them for care.
Dependants	Some staff may require additional support or time off in the aftermath of experiencing a bereavement particularly if they have been the carer or if they have other dependants & they are now the sole carer.

Section 2 – Screening Questions

In making a decision as to carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA), the Council should consider its answers to the questions 1- 3 detailed below.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity categories, then the Council may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity, the Council should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- c) Potential equality impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by

making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;

- c) Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity for people within the equality categories.

Screening questions

1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories (minor/ major/ none)

Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? minor/major/none
Religious belief	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis – line managers will take account of employee's different religious beliefs & make special arrangements in accordance with this re: being off work at a particular time etc.	Minor – positive
Political opinion	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis.	None
Racial group	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis - line managers will take account of employee's different cultural beliefs & make special arrangements in accordance with this re: particular observances, practices etc.	Minor – positive
Age	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	Minor – positive
Marital status	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis.	None
Sexual orientation	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis.	None

Men and women generally	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Disability	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Dependants	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None

2. Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories? (Yes/ No)				
Section 75 category	If Yes, provide details	If No , provide reasons		
Religious belief		Νο		
Political opinion		Νο		
Racial group		No		
Age		No		
Marital status		Νο		
Sexual orientation		Νο		
Men and women generally		Νο		
Disability		No		
Dependants		Νο		

3. Are there opportunities without prejudice, to the equality of opportunity duty, to better promote good relations between Section 75 equality categories, through tackling prejudice and/ or promoting understanding? (Yes/ No)

	No	x
	Yes	
f yes, please detail the opportunities below:		

If yes is concluded to Question 3, then the policy will be referred to the Council's Good Relations Working Group for consideration. The Group will consider the potential opportunities and assess if and how the overall impact of a decision/policy can better promote good relations.

Additional Considerations - Multiple identity

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (*For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).*

No

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

Section 3 – Screening Decision

In light of answers provided to the questions within Section 3 select one of the following with regards the policy:

		Select One
1	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - with no mitigating measures required	x
2	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - <i>mitigating measures/ alternative policies</i> <i>introduced</i>	
3	Shall be subject to an EQIA	

If 1 or 2 above (i.e. not to be subject to an EQIA) please provide details of reasons why.

No equality issues have been identified that warrant a further inspection of equality issues in relation to this policy.

If 2 above (i.e. not to subject to an EQIA) in what ways can adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced. N/A

If 3 above (i.e. shall be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons.

N/A

Mitigation

When it is concluded that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you may consider mitigation to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy:

N/A

Timetabling and prioritising

If the policy has been screened in for equality impact assessment, please answer the below to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

• On a scale of 1-3 (1 being lowest priority and 3 being highest), assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a Council's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the Council in timetabling. Details of the Council's Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the Screening Reports.

• Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

Yes	
No	X

Section 5 – Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the Council to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development. Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

Implementation of this policy will be monitored & reviewed in line with any changes in government policy and a formal review undertaken 24 months from its effective date. Any changes made will be communicated in the Policy & Resources Committee in a timely manner.

Section 6 – Approval and authorisation

Screened by:	Position/ Job Title	Date
	Corporate Learning & Development Manager	31/1/20
Approved by:	Position/ Job Title	Date
	Director of O.D.	31/1/20

Note: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy; made easily accessible on the council website as soon as possible following completion and be available on request.

