

Equality & Good Relations Screening Report

Introduction

Mid Ulster District Council has a statutory duty to screen its policies, procedures, practices/decisions. This Policy Screening Form and Report assists Council Departments to consider the likely equality and good relations impacts of the aforementioned, if any, placed upon our ratepayers, citizens, service users, staff and visitors to the district.

Section 1 - Policy scoping

This asks the Policy Author to provide details on the policy, procedure, practice and/or decision being screened and what available evidence you have gathered to help make an assessment of the likely impact on equality of opportunity and good relations. Reference to policy within this document refers to either of the aforementioned (policy, procedure, practice, and/ or decision).

Section 2 - Screening questions

This asks about the extent of the likely impact of the policy on groups of people within each of the Section 75 categories. Details of the groups consulted and the level of assessment of the likely impact. This includes consideration of multiple identity and issues.

Section 3 -Screening decision

This guides the Council to reach a screening decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment (EQIA), or introduce measures to mitigate the likely impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Section 4 – Monitoring

This provides guidance to the Council on monitoring for adverse impact and broader monitoring.

Section 5 – Approval and authorisation

This verifies the Council's approval of a screening decision by a senior manager responsible for the policy.

Appendix A Screening Process

Section 1 Policy Scoping & Information

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration which sets the context and confirms the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. Scoping the policy helps to identify constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy author to work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

1. Policy Name		
Mid Ulster District Council Health and Safety Policy		
2. Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?		
This is a revised policy to take account of requirements ISO45001.		
3. What is it trying to achieve? (aims/outcomes)		
The aim of this policy is to outline the Council's commitment to compl statutory health and safety at work legislation and the Council has arr in place to ensure this occurs consistently across the council structure organisation.	angem	
4. Are there any Section 75 categories which might be	Yes	
expected to benefit from the intended policy?	No	
None directly anticipated.		
6. Who initiated or wrote the policy?		
Rory Donnelly, Corporate Health and Safety Manager		
7. Who owns and who implements the policy?		
Mid Ulster District Council		

Implementation factors

		Yes	No
Are there any factors which could contribute to/ detract from intended aim/ outcome of the policy?		Yes	
	policy:	Х	
If yes, are they financial?		Χ	
If yes, are they legislative?	?		No
	Financial: if insufficient funds are not he Council	made avai	lable by
	Legislative: not applicable		
Other, Please specify			

Stakeholders

The internal and external (actual or potential) that the policy will be impacted upon

	Yes	No
Staff	х	
Service Users	х	
Other public sector organisations	Х	
Voluntary/community/ trade unions	х	
Other, please specify		

Others policies with a bearing on this policy

Policies	Owners
Corporate Risk Policy	Director of Finance & IT

Available evidence

Information and available evidence (qualitative and quantitative) gathered to inform the policy under each of the Section 75 groups as identified within the Northern Ireland Act 1998.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence	e/information				
Religious belief	63.77% of the populat or were brought up in religion. Other religior population (Source: 20)	a Protestant and one comprised 690	Other Chris	tian (includir	ng Christian re	elated)
	Religion or Religio	n brought up in		No.	%	
	Catholic			88,375	63.77	
	Protestant and Othe	er Christian (includ	ling			
	Christian related)	`	J	46,372	33.46	
	Other religions			690	0.5	
	None			3,153	2.28	
	Total			138,590	100	
						_
	political party/ indeper Council (Source: NISF		bellowed a	ong with rep	resentation (s	seats) on
	Party	Votes	Percen	tage Co	ouncil Seats	
	SF	22,587	41.0		18	
	DUP	9,723	17.6		8	
	UUP	9,573	17.4		7	
	SDLP	7,600	13.8		6	
	Independent	2,689	4.99		1	
	TUV	2,380	4.3%		0	
	Alliance UKIP	250 195	0.69 0.49		0	
Racial group	According to the 2011 (98.48%) were classifi such as Polish, Lithua in Mid Ulster Local Go	Census the overved as 'white'. With nian and so forth.	whelming main this total Statistics	najority of the I will be migr indicate that	e population 1 ant communi the number o	ties, of people
	Place of Birth				No.	
	Great Britain				4,053	
	Republic of Ireland				2,250	
	EU Countries (Czech Lithuania, Poland, Sl			, Latvia,	6,795	
	Other	·				
	Otrici				2,280	

The minority ethnic language profile within the area can serve as a possible indicator of the Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) community profile within the district. The composition of language groups in Mid Ulster LGD area is also noted from the 2011 census by NISRA as:

Main Languages of residents in Mid Ulster Council area	No.
English	125,715
Polish	2,008
Lithuanian	2,039
Portuguese	903
Irish (Gaelic)	404
Slovak	477
Russian	297
Latvia	261
Hungarian	117
Chinese	64
Tagalog/Filipino	38
Malaysian	33
Other	922

Age

The age profile of Mid Ulster Local Government District area as at 2015 (Source, NISRA)

	Mid Ulster	Northern Ireland
Total Population	144,002	1,851,621
0-15 years	33,123	385,200
16-39 years	47,646	583,116
40-64 years	43,621	591,481
65+ years	19,612	291,824
Population Change % (2005-2015)	15.3%	7.2%

Marital status

The below table sets out the martial status profile for Mid Ulster District Council area as extracted from results of the 2011 Census

	Mid U	Jister	Northe	rn Ireland
	No.	%	No	%
Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)	38,353	35.97	517,393	36.14
Married (Aged 16+)	54,192	50.82	680,831	47.56
In a registered same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)	62	0.06	1,243	0.09
Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)	3,369	3.16	56,911	3.98
Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+)	4,139	3.88	78,074	5.45
Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)	6,523	6.12	97,088	6.78

Sexual orientation

No specific statistics are available from the 2011 government census for this Category and there are therefore no official statistics available in relation to persons of different sexual orientation. However, the Integrated Household Survey would include between 3% and 4% would be either gay, lesbian and/or bisexual. However, due to the nature of 'disclosure' in this area, umbrella organisations often state that the figure may be closer to 10%.

Region	Heterosexual / Straight	Gay/ Lesbian	Bisexual	Gay/ Lesbian/ Bisexual	Other	Don't know /refuse	No response
England	92.54%	1.10%	0.51%	1.61%	0.33%	4.07%	1.45%
Wales	93.93%	1.04%	0.48%	1.52%	0.45%	2.99%	1.11%
Scotland	94.65%	0.82%	0.33%	1.14%	0.26%	2.59%	1.37%
N Ireland	93.00%	0.64%	0.96%	1.60%	0.26%	3.98%	1.17%
Total	92.80%	1.06%	0.51%	1.57%	0.32%	3.89%	1.42%

Research also conducted by the HM Treasury shows that between 5%-7% of the UK population identify themselves as gay, lesbian, bisexual or 'trans' (transsexual, transgendered and transvestite) (LGBT).

Men & women generally

The gender profile of Mid Ulster LGD is detailed as;

	Mid Ulster		Northern	n Ireland
	No.	No. %		%
Male	69,362	50.05	887,323	49.00
Female	69,228	49.95	923,540	51.00

Disability

According to the 2011 NISRA census statistics 19.39% of people had a long-term health problem or disability that limited their day-to-day activities whilst 80.43% of people within the district stated their general health was either good or very good

	Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland	
	No.	%	No.	%
Disability / long term health	26,870	19.39	374,646	20.69
No disability / long term health problem	111,720	80.61	1,436,217	79.31

In Northern Ireland the profile of persons with a disability has been reported by Disability Action as;

- More than 1 in 5 or 21% of the population have a disability
- 1 in 7 people have some form of hearing loss
- 5,000 persons use sign language British Sign Language and/or Irish Sign Language
- There are 57,000 blind persons or persons with significant impairment
- 52,000 persons with learning difficulties

Dependants

Persons with dependents may be people who have personal responsibility for the care of a child (or children), a person with a disability, and/ or a dependent older person. The below table provides a summary with respect Mid Ulster LGD.

	Mid U	ster	Northern Ireland		
	No.	%	No.	%	
Households with dependent children	18,626	38.99	238,094	33.86	
Lone parent households with dependents	3,485	7.30	63,921	9.09	
People providing unpaid care	12,821	10.69	231,980	11.82	

Of the households in Mid Ulster Local Government District with dependent children, they can be summarised as;

- 7,407 families in households have 1 dependent child
- 6,394 families in households with two dependent children
- 5,014 families in households with three dependent children

There are 37,306 dependent children within families.

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	None identified
Political opinion	None identified
Racial group	Consideration will be given to the production of safety communications in different languages reflective of those residing in the District, together with our employee base.
Age	None identified
Marital status	None identified
Sexual orientation	None identified
Men and women generally	None identified
Disability	None identified
Dependants	None identified

Section 2 – Screening Questions

In making a decision as to carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA), the Council should consider its answers to the questions 1- 3 detailed below.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity categories, then the Council may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity, the Council should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- Potential equality impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of none

a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity.

b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity for people within the equality categories.

Screening questions

1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories (minor/ major/ none)		
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? minor/major/none
Religious Belief	None identified	None
Political opinion	None identified	None
Racial group	There may be some difficulty in safety communications - different languages to be considered	Minor
Age	Evacuation procedures must be mindful of the safety of young children and older adults with high levels of dependency	Minor
Marital status	None identified	None
Sexual orientation	None identified	None
Men & women generally	None identified	None
Disability	None identified	None

С

2. Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories? (Yes/ No)			
Section 75 category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons	
Religious belief		No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures	
Political opinion		No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures	
Racial group		No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures	
Age		No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures	
Marital status		No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures	
Sexual orientation		No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are	

	underpinned by a set of procedures
Men and women generally	No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures
Disability	No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures
Dependants	No, the policy defines specific organisational roles and responsibilities which are underpinned by a set of procedures

3. Are there opportunities without prejudice, to the equality of duty, to better promote good relations between Section 75 equathrough tackling prejudice and/ or promoting understanding?	uality cat	egories,
	No	Χ
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the opportunities below:		
There is nothing to suggest that the policy implementation will adversely impact upon good relations.		

If yes is concluded to Question 3, then the policy will be referred to the Council's Good Relations Working Group for consideration. The Group will consider the potential opportunities and assess if and how the overall impact of a decision/policy can better promote good relations.

Additional Considerations - Multiple identity

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).
No, due specifically to the legislative nature of this policy
Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.
Refer to above.

Section 3 – Screening Decision

In light of answers provided to the questions within Section 3 select one of the following with regards the policy:

		Select One
1	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - with no mitigating measures required	Х
2	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - mitigating measures/ alternative policies introduced	
3	Shall be subject to an EQIA	

If 1 or 2 above (i.e. not to be subject to an EQIA) please provide details of reasons why.

The Council as an employer accepts its legal responsibilities to employees and others affected under the Health and Safety at Work Order (NI) as amended.

If 2 above (i.e. not to subject to an EQIA) in what ways can adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.
Not applicable
If 3 above (i.e. shall be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons.
Not applicable

Mitigation

When it is concluded that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you may consider mitigation to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy:

Not applicable

Timetabling and prioritising

If the policy has been screened in for equality impact assessment, please answer the below to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

• On a scale of 1-3 (1 being lowest priority and 3 being highest), assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a Council's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the Council in timetabling. Details of the Council's Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the Screening Reports.

• Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

Yes	
No	

Section 5 - Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the Council to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development. Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

It is intended to review the policy every 36 months with a view to legislative changes being incorporated.

Section 6 – Approval and authorisation

Screened by:	Position/ Job Title	Date
	Corporate Health and Safety Manager	23-01-20
Approved by: Position/ Job Title		
Approved by:	Position/ Job Title	Date

Note: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy; made easily accessible on the council website as soon as possible following completion and be available on request.

