



Introduction

Mid Ulster District Council has a statutory duty to screen its policies, procedures, practices/decisions. This Policy Screening Form and Report assists Council Departments to consider the likely equality and good relations impacts of the aforementioned, if any, placed upon our ratepayers, citizens, service users, staff and visitors to the district.

Section 1 – Policy scoping

This asks the Policy Author to provide details on the policy, procedure, practice and/or decision being screened and what available evidence you have gathered to help make an assessment of the likely impact on equality of opportunity and good relations. Reference to policy within this document refers to either of the aforementioned (policy, procedure, practice, and/ or decision).

Section 2 – Screening questions

This asks about the extent of the likely impact of the policy on groups of people within each of the Section 75 categories. Details of the groups consulted and the level of assessment of the likely impact. This includes consideration of multiple identity and issues.

Section 3 – Screening decision

This guides the Council to reach a screening decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment (EQIA), or introduce measures to mitigate the likely impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Section 4 – Monitoring

This provides guidance to the Council on monitoring for adverse impact and broader monitoring.

Section 5 – Approval and authorisation

This verifies the Council's approval of a screening decision by a senior manager responsible for the policy.

Appendix A Screening Process

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Section 1 Policy Scoping & Information

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration which sets the context and confirms the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. Scoping the policy helps to identify constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy author to work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

1. Policy Name		
Licensing of Pavement Cafés Act (Northern Ireland) 2014 – Guidance for Applicants		
2. Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?		
New policy for Mid Ulster District Council		
3. What is it trying to achieve? (aims/outcomes)		
<p>The aim of this new guidance is to ensure that the Council's Environmental Health Service implement its pavement café licensing regime in a manner that is fair and equitable to all sectors of the community who may be affected by such applications being granted.</p> <p>This will be achieved by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Providing applicants with the appropriate advice and guidance on the application process and legal requirements;• Providing applicants with the appropriate advice and guidance on the suitability criteria for a pavement café licence, which includes but is not restricted to:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ advice on the size and layout,○ boundaries,○ type of furniture,○ Environmental implications and other requirements○ Safety and control○ Consumption of alcohol○ Management of above <p>And</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• By consistency of approach from officers who are processing applications for pavement café licences and the enforcement of same.		
4. Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?	Yes	
	No	x

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

6. Who initiated or wrote the policy?
The 'Health and Safety, Public Safety & Licensing' section of the Environmental Health Service.
7. Who owns and who implements the policy?
Mid Ulster District Council's Environmental Health Service.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Implementation factors

		Yes	No
Are there any factors which could contribute to/ detract from intended aim/ outcome of the policy?			
• If yes, are they financial?			X
• If yes, are they legislative?		X	
• If yes, Please specify	<p>Financial: n/a</p> <p>Legislative:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any future potential amendments to the Pavement Café Act (NI) 2014 which may be made by the NI Assembly. For example, in light of the Covid-19 situation and the business communities desire to kick start the hospitality industry by increasing the number of outdoor seating areas where food and drink may be consumed in response to public desire. • Difficulties in undertaking appropriate statutory consultations due to time constraints and pressures on other agencies' resources which outside the control of council. 		
• Other, Please specify			

Stakeholders

The internal and external (actual or potential) that the policy will be impacted upon

	Yes	No
Staff	X	
Service Users	X	
Other public sector organisations	X	
Voluntary/community/ trade unions	X	
Other, please specify		

Others policies with a bearing on this policy

Policies	Owners
MUDC Enforcement Policy	Director of Public Health & Infrastructure.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Available evidence

Information and available evidence (qualitative and quantitative) gathered to inform the policy under each of the Section 75 groups as identified within the Northern Ireland Act 1998.

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information																																				
Religious belief	<p>63.77% of the population were brought up in the Catholic religion and 33.46% belong or were brought up in a Protestant and Other Christian (including Christian related) religion. Other religions comprised 690 (0.5%) and None 3,153 (2.28%) of the population (Source: 2011 Census).</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Religion or Religion brought up in</th> <th style="text-align: center;">No.</th> <th style="text-align: center;">%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Catholic</td> <td style="text-align: center;">88,375</td> <td style="text-align: center;">63.77</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Protestant and Other Christian (including Christian related)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">46,372</td> <td style="text-align: center;">33.46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other religions</td> <td style="text-align: center;">690</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>None</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3,153</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2.28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td style="text-align: center;">138,590</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Religion or Religion brought up in	No.	%	Catholic	88,375	63.77	Protestant and Other Christian (including Christian related)	46,372	33.46	Other religions	690	0.5	None	3,153	2.28	Total	138,590	100																		
Religion or Religion brought up in	No.	%																																			
Catholic	88,375	63.77																																			
Protestant and Other Christian (including Christian related)	46,372	33.46																																			
Other religions	690	0.5																																			
None	3,153	2.28																																			
Total	138,590	100																																			
Political opinion	<p>Political party representation can be used as an approximate barometer of political opinion of people within Mid Ulster council area. The most recent local government/ council election in 2014 the percentage 1st preference vote share for each of the political party/ independents is detailed below along with representation (seats) on Council (Source: NISRA):</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Party</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Votes</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Percentage</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Council Seats</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SF</td> <td style="text-align: center;">22,587</td> <td style="text-align: center;">41.0%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">DUP</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9,723</td> <td style="text-align: center;">17.6%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">8</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">UUP</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9,573</td> <td style="text-align: center;">17.4%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">SDLP</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7,600</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13.8%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Independent</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2,689</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4.9%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">TUV</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2,380</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4.3%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Alliance</td> <td style="text-align: center;">250</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.6%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">UKIP</td> <td style="text-align: center;">195</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0.4%</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Party	Votes	Percentage	Council Seats	SF	22,587	41.0%	18	DUP	9,723	17.6%	8	UUP	9,573	17.4%	7	SDLP	7,600	13.8%	6	Independent	2,689	4.9%	1	TUV	2,380	4.3%	0	Alliance	250	0.6%	0	UKIP	195	0.4%	0
Party	Votes	Percentage	Council Seats																																		
SF	22,587	41.0%	18																																		
DUP	9,723	17.6%	8																																		
UUP	9,573	17.4%	7																																		
SDLP	7,600	13.8%	6																																		
Independent	2,689	4.9%	1																																		
TUV	2,380	4.3%	0																																		
Alliance	250	0.6%	0																																		
UKIP	195	0.4%	0																																		
Racial group	<p>According to the 2011 Census the overwhelming majority of the population 136,485 (98.48%) were classified as 'white'. Within this total will be migrant communities, such as Polish, Lithuanian and so forth. Statistics indicate that the number of people in Mid Ulster Local Government District (LGD) born outside Northern Ireland is:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: center;">Place of Birth</th> <th style="text-align: center;">No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Great Britain</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4,053</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Republic of Ireland</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2,250</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Place of Birth	No.	Great Britain	4,053	Republic of Ireland	2,250																														
Place of Birth	No.																																				
Great Britain	4,053																																				
Republic of Ireland	2,250																																				

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>EU Countries (Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">6,795</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other</td> <td style="text-align: right;">2,280</td> </tr> </table>	EU Countries (Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia)	6,795	Other	2,280																																													
EU Countries (Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia)	6,795																																																	
Other	2,280																																																	
Age	<p>The minority ethnic language profile within the area can serve as a possible indicator of the Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) community profile within the district. The composition of language groups in Mid Ulster LGD area is also noted from the 2011 census by NISRA as:</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="background-color: #d9e1f2;">Main Languages of residents in Mid Ulster Council area</th> <th style="background-color: #d9e1f2;">No.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>English</td><td style="text-align: right;">125,715</td></tr> <tr><td>Polish</td><td style="text-align: right;">2,008</td></tr> <tr><td>Lithuanian</td><td style="text-align: right;">2,039</td></tr> <tr><td>Portuguese</td><td style="text-align: right;">903</td></tr> <tr><td>Irish (Gaelic)</td><td style="text-align: right;">404</td></tr> <tr><td>Slovak</td><td style="text-align: right;">477</td></tr> <tr><td>Russian</td><td style="text-align: right;">297</td></tr> <tr><td>Latvia</td><td style="text-align: right;">261</td></tr> <tr><td>Hungarian</td><td style="text-align: right;">117</td></tr> <tr><td>Chinese</td><td style="text-align: right;">64</td></tr> <tr><td>Tagalog/Filipino</td><td style="text-align: right;">38</td></tr> <tr><td>Malaysian</td><td style="text-align: right;">33</td></tr> <tr><td>Other</td><td style="text-align: right;">922</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The age profile of Mid Ulster Local Government District area as at 2015 (Source, NISRA)</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="background-color: #d9e1f2;">Mid Ulster</th> <th style="background-color: #d9e1f2;">Northern Ireland</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total Population</td> <td style="text-align: right;">144,002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1,851,621</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0-15 years</td> <td style="text-align: right;">33,123</td> <td style="text-align: right;">385,200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>16-39 years</td> <td style="text-align: right;">47,646</td> <td style="text-align: right;">583,116</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40-64 years</td> <td style="text-align: right;">43,621</td> <td style="text-align: right;">591,481</td> </tr> <tr> <td>65+ years</td> <td style="text-align: right;">19,612</td> <td style="text-align: right;">291,824</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Population Change % (2005-2015)</td> <td style="text-align: right;">15.3%</td> <td style="text-align: right;">7.2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Main Languages of residents in Mid Ulster Council area	No.	English	125,715	Polish	2,008	Lithuanian	2,039	Portuguese	903	Irish (Gaelic)	404	Slovak	477	Russian	297	Latvia	261	Hungarian	117	Chinese	64	Tagalog/Filipino	38	Malaysian	33	Other	922		Mid Ulster	Northern Ireland	Total Population	144,002	1,851,621	0-15 years	33,123	385,200	16-39 years	47,646	583,116	40-64 years	43,621	591,481	65+ years	19,612	291,824	Population Change % (2005-2015)	15.3%	7.2%
Main Languages of residents in Mid Ulster Council area	No.																																																	
English	125,715																																																	
Polish	2,008																																																	
Lithuanian	2,039																																																	
Portuguese	903																																																	
Irish (Gaelic)	404																																																	
Slovak	477																																																	
Russian	297																																																	
Latvia	261																																																	
Hungarian	117																																																	
Chinese	64																																																	
Tagalog/Filipino	38																																																	
Malaysian	33																																																	
Other	922																																																	
	Mid Ulster	Northern Ireland																																																
Total Population	144,002	1,851,621																																																
0-15 years	33,123	385,200																																																
16-39 years	47,646	583,116																																																
40-64 years	43,621	591,481																																																
65+ years	19,612	291,824																																																
Population Change % (2005-2015)	15.3%	7.2%																																																

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Marital status	The below table sets out the marital status profile for Mid Ulster District Council area as extracted from results of the 2011 Census							
			Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland			
			No.	%	No	%		
	Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)		38,353	35.97	517,393	36.14		
	Married (Aged 16+)		54,192	50.82	680,831	47.56		
	In a registered same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)		62	0.06	1,243	0.09		
	Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+)		3,369	3.16	56,911	3.98		
	Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+)		4,139	3.88	78,074	5.45		
Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+)		6,523	6.12	97,088	6.78			
Sexual orientation	No specific statistics are available from the 2011 government census for this Category and there are therefore no official statistics available in relation to persons of different sexual orientation. However, the Integrated Household Survey would include between 3% and 4% would be either gay, lesbian and/or bisexual. However, due to the nature of 'disclosure' in this area, umbrella organisations often state that the figure may be closer to 10%.							
	Region	Heterosexual / Straight	Gay/ Lesbian	Bisexual	Gay/ Lesbian/ Bisexual	Other	Don't know /refuse	No response
	England	92.54%	1.10%	0.51%	1.61%	0.33%	4.07%	1.45%
Wales	93.93%	1.04%	0.48%	1.52%	0.45%	2.99%	1.11%	
Scotland	94.65%	0.82%	0.33%	1.14%	0.26%	2.59%	1.37%	
N Ireland	93.00%	0.64%	0.96%	1.60%	0.26%	3.98%	1.17%	
Total	92.80%	1.06%	0.51%	1.57%	0.32%	3.89%	1.42%	
Research also conducted by the HM Treasury shows that between 5%-7% of the UK population identify themselves as gay, lesbian, bisexual or 'trans' (transsexual, transgendered and transvestite) (LGBT).								

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Men & women generally	<p>The gender profile of Mid Ulster LGD is detailed as;</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">Mid Ulster</th> <th colspan="2">Northern Ireland</th> </tr> <tr> <th>No.</th> <th>%</th> <th>No.</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Male</td> <td style="text-align: center;">72,958</td> <td style="text-align: center;">50.2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">887,323</td> <td style="text-align: center;">49.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Female</td> <td style="text-align: center;">72,431</td> <td style="text-align: center;">49.8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">923,540</td> <td style="text-align: center;">51.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland		No.	%	No.	%	Male	72,958	50.2	887,323	49.00	Female	72,431	49.8	923,540	51.00					
	Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland																						
	No.	%	No.	%																					
Male	72,958	50.2	887,323	49.00																					
Female	72,431	49.8	923,540	51.00																					
Disability	<p>According to the 2011 NISRA census statistics 19.39% of people had a long-term health problem or disability that limited their day-to-day activities whilst 80.43% of people within the district stated their general health was either good or very good</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">Mid Ulster</th> <th colspan="2">Northern Ireland</th> </tr> <tr> <th>No.</th> <th>%</th> <th>No.</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Disability / long term health</td> <td style="text-align: center;">26,870</td> <td style="text-align: center;">19.39</td> <td style="text-align: center;">374,646</td> <td style="text-align: center;">20.69</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No disability / long term health problem</td> <td style="text-align: center;">111,720</td> <td style="text-align: center;">80.61</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1,436,217</td> <td style="text-align: center;">79.31</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>In Northern Ireland the profile of persons with a disability has been reported by Disability Action as;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than 1 in 5 or 21% of the population have a disability • 1 in 7 people have some form of hearing loss • 5,000 persons use sign language - British Sign Language and/or Irish Sign Language • There are 57,000 blind persons or persons with significant impairment • 52,000 persons with learning difficulties 		Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland		No.	%	No.	%	Disability / long term health	26,870	19.39	374,646	20.69	No disability / long term health problem	111,720	80.61	1,436,217	79.31					
	Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland																						
	No.	%	No.	%																					
Disability / long term health	26,870	19.39	374,646	20.69																					
No disability / long term health problem	111,720	80.61	1,436,217	79.31																					
Dependants	<p>Persons with dependants may be people who have personal responsibility for the care of a child (or children), a person with a disability, and/ or a dependent older person. The below table provides a summary with respect Mid Ulster LGD.</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">Mid Ulster</th> <th colspan="2">Northern Ireland</th> </tr> <tr> <th>No.</th> <th>%</th> <th>No.</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Households with dependent children</td> <td style="text-align: center;">18,626</td> <td style="text-align: center;">38.99</td> <td style="text-align: center;">238,094</td> <td style="text-align: center;">33.86</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lone parent households with dependents</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3,485</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7.30</td> <td style="text-align: center;">63,921</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9.09</td> </tr> <tr> <td>People providing unpaid care</td> <td style="text-align: center;">12,821</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10.69</td> <td style="text-align: center;">231,980</td> <td style="text-align: center;">11.82</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Of the households in Mid Ulster Local Government District with dependent children, they can be summarised as;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7,407 families in households have 1 dependent child • 6,394 families in households with two dependent children • 5,014 families in households with three dependent children <p>There are 37,306 dependent children within families.</p>		Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland		No.	%	No.	%	Households with dependent children	18,626	38.99	238,094	33.86	Lone parent households with dependents	3,485	7.30	63,921	9.09	People providing unpaid care	12,821	10.69	231,980	11.82
	Mid Ulster		Northern Ireland																						
	No.	%	No.	%																					
Households with dependent children	18,626	38.99	238,094	33.86																					
Lone parent households with dependents	3,485	7.30	63,921	9.09																					
People providing unpaid care	12,821	10.69	231,980	11.82																					

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	None in relation to this policy.
Political opinion	None in relation to this policy.
Racial group	None in relation to this policy.
Age	<p>There is likely to be a minor impact on older people from the implementation of the pavement café licensing regime across the District, particularly those with mobility or visual impairment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Barriers / adequate screening should prevent pavement café customers from obstructing the pavement, which sometimes makes elderly and / or younger people feel uncomfortable when trying to pass.• In most cases the transfer of food and / or drink to the outside area will be advised to be through the use of table service for those older people and others who find it difficult to carry cups, trays etc.
Marital status	None in relation to this policy.
Sexual orientation	None in relation to this policy.
Men and women generally	None in relation to this policy.
Disability	There is likely to be a minor impact on those members of the community who have a disability, particularly those with mobility issues or visual impairment.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well-designed screening will aid the visually impaired to navigate safely around the pavement café areas. However, it is acknowledged that poorly designed barriers could be a trip hazard for the visually impaired and others. • Specifying a minimum width for public access will ensure that wheelchair users (and those with prams) can negotiate the pavements safely. • Specifying that tables and chairs should be sufficiently spaces to enable wheelchair / highchair / pram access will ensure that the sitting out areas can be used by all. • Licence holders will be required to remove tables, chairs and other associated structures from the public area after trading hours
Dependants	<p>There is likely to be a minor impact on those members of the community with dependants. Those likely to be affected are those with young children in prams and those who have dependants that suffer from a physiological impairment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specifying a minimum width for public access will ensure that those with prams can negotiate the pavements safely. • Specifying that tables and chairs should be sufficiently spaces to enable wheelchair / highchair / pram access will ensure that the sitting out areas can be used by all.

Section 2 – Screening Questions

In making a decision as to carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA), the Council should consider its answers to the questions 1- 3 detailed below.

If the Council's conclusion is **none** in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity categories, then the Council may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity, the Council should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If the Council's conclusion is **major** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

If the Council's conclusion is **minor** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- c) Potential equality impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- c) Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity for people within the equality categories.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Screening questions

1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories (minor/ major/ none)		
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? minor/major/none
Religious belief	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Political opinion	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Racial group	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Age	There is likely to be a minor impact on older people from the implementation of the pavement café licensing regime across the District, particularly those with mobility or visual impairment	Minor (negative)
Marital status	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Sexual orientation	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Men and women generally	This policy will be implemented on an equal basis	None
Disability	There is likely to be a minor impact on those members of the community who have a	Minor (negative)

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

	<p>disability, particularly those with mobility issues or visual impairment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well-designed screening will aid the visually impaired to navigate safely around the pavement café areas. However, it is acknowledged that poorly designed barriers could be a trip hazard for the visually impaired and others. • Specifying a minimum width for public access will ensure that wheelchair users (and those with prams) can negotiate the pavements safely. • Specifying that tables and chairs should be sufficiently spaces to enable wheelchair / highchair / pram access will ensure that the sitting out areas can be used by all. • Licence holders will be required to remove tables, chairs and other associated structures from the public area after trading hours 	
Dependants	<p>There is likely to be a minor impact on those members of the community with dependants. Those likely to be affected are those with young children in prams and those who have dependants that suffer from a physiological impairment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Specifying a minimum width for public access will ensure that those with prams can negotiate the pavements safely. • Specifying that tables and chairs should be sufficiently spaces to enable wheelchair / highchair / pram access will ensure that the sitting out areas can be used by all. 	Minor (negative)

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

2. Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within Section 75 equality categories? (Yes/ No)		
Section 75 category	If Yes, provide details	If No, provide reasons
Religious belief		No
Political opinion		No
Racial group		No
Age		No
Marital status		No
Sexual orientation		No
Men and women generally		No
Disability		No
Dependants		No

3. Are there opportunities without prejudice, to the equality of opportunity duty, to better promote good relations between Section 75 equality categories, through tackling prejudice and/ or promoting understanding? (Yes/ No)

	No	x
	Yes	
If yes, please detail the opportunities below:		

If yes is concluded to Question 3, then the policy will be referred to the Council's Good Relations Working Group for consideration. The Group will consider the

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

potential opportunities and assess if and how the overall impact of a decision/policy can better promote good relations.

Additional Considerations - Multiple identity

<p>Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? <i>(For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).</i></p>
<p>No – not applicable.</p>
<p>Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.</p>

Section 3 – Screening Decision

In light of answers provided to the questions within Section 3 select one of the following with regards the policy:

		Select One
1	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - <i>with no mitigating measures required</i>	
2	Shall not be subject to an EQIA - <i>mitigating measures/ alternative policies introduced</i>	X
3	Shall be subject to an EQIA	

<p>If 1 or 2 above (i.e. not to be subject to an EQIA) please provide details of reasons why.</p>

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

If 2 above (i.e. not to subject to an EQIA) in what ways can adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.

Given that minor negative has been identified for three groups (age, disability and dependants) mitigation measures will be put in place in order to limit the negative impact. Please see the mitigation section for details.

If 3 above (i.e. shall be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons.

Mitigation

When it is concluded that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you may consider mitigation to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy:

Mitigation measures in relation to this policy will include the following:

- This policy will be monitored/re-evaluated three months from its approval by Council. This is to ensure any negative impacts can be resolved at an early juncture in its implementation.
- Further consultation with voluntary and statutory groups representative of people with sight loss and physical disabilities will be carried out (in a more focused way than is currently possible) once COVID-19 restrictions are eased.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Timetabling and prioritising

If the policy has been screened in for equality impact assessment, please answer the below to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

- On a scale of 1-3 (1 being lowest priority and 3 being highest), assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a Council's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the Council in timetabling. Details of the Council's Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the Screening Reports.

- Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

Yes	
No	



Section 5 – Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the Council to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development. Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

Implementation of this guidance will be subject to ongoing monitoring and review as pavement café licence applications are received and processed on a case by case basis. As part of Council's mitigating measures this guidance will be formally reviewed three months from the date it is approved by Council.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Section 6 – Approval and authorisation

Screened by:	Position/ Job Title	Date
	Principal Environmental Health Officer (Health and safety, Public Safety & Licensing)	22.6.20
Approved by:	Position/ Job Title	Date
	Director of Public Health & Infrastructure	24/06/20

Note: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy; made easily accessible on the council website as soon as possible following completion and be available on request.

DRAFT SCREENING REPORT

Appendix A

Mid Ulster District Council Screening Process

