

Equality & Good Relations Screening Report (updated 2019)

Introduction

Mid Ulster District Council has a statutory duty to screen its policies, procedures, practices/decisions. This Policy Screening Form and Report assists Council Departments to consider the likely equality and good relations impacts of the aforementioned, if any, placed upon our ratepayers, citizens, service users, staff and visitors to the district.

Section 1 - Policy scoping

This asks the Policy Author to provide details on the policy, procedure, practice and/or decision being screened and what available evidence you have gathered to help make an assessment of the likely impact on equality of opportunity and good relations. Reference to policy within this document refers to either of the aforementioned (policy, procedure, practice, and/ or decision).

Section 2 - Screening questions

This asks about the extent of the likely impact of the policy on groups of people within each of the Section 75 categories. Details of the groups consulted and the level of assessment of the likely impact. This includes consideration of multiple identity and issues.

Section 3 -Screening decision

This guides the Council to reach a screening decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment (EQIA), or introduce measures to mitigate the likely impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Section 4 - Monitoring

This provides guidance to the Council on monitoring for adverse impact and broader monitoring.

Section 5 – Approval and authorisation

This verifies the Council's approval of a screening decision by a senior manager responsible for the policy.

Appendix A Screening Process

Section 1 Policy Scoping & Information

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration which sets the context and confirms the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. Scoping the policy helps to identify constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy author to work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

1. Policy Name

Tackling Rural Poverty and Social Isolation (TRPSI) Rural Business Development Grant Scheme

2. Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

New Programme

3. What is it trying to achieve? (aims/outcomes)

The Tackling Rural Poverty and Social Isolation Programme's (TRPSI) Rural Business Development Grant Scheme aims to support the sustainability, survival and development of micro rural businesses across Northern Ireland by providing a small capital grant for the business. The TRPSI Programme is funded by the Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs, and this grant Programme is administered and managed by local Councils.

4. Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?

| Yes | X |
|-----|---|
| No | |

If so, please explain

All Section 75 groupings will have the opportunity to benefit equally from this Scheme, as outlined below. However, some groups may potentially benefit more than others:-

Age- Older people and young people

Women

People with disabilities

People with dependants

5. Who initiated or wrote the policy?

The TRPSI Framework is a policy developed by DAERA and contains various strands and initiatives aimed at tackling rural poverty and social isolation. The Rural Business Development Grants Scheme is funded through the TRPSI initiative has been developed in partnership with all 11 Councils in NI and the Department. A business case was prepared on behalf of all Councils setting out the aims and objectives of the Scheme and submitted to DAERA for approval.

6. Who owns and who implements the policy?

All 11 participating Councils – including Mid Ulster will be responsible for local delivery of this scheme implemented through Local Economic Development Departments within Council. This will include assessment of applications and

issue of letter of offer. DAERA is responsible for paying grant aid directly to successful applicants.

Implementation factors

| | | | Yes | No |
|---|---|---|---|------------------------------|
| | e there any factors which tended aim/ outcome of t | n could contribute to/ detract from he policy? | X | |
| • | If yes, are they financial | ? | X | |
| • | If yes, are they legislative | /e? | 1 | |
| | If yes, Please specify | Financial: This Scheme requires 50% match for promoters. While the aim of the Schusiness recovery, the availability of current climate may prevent some by applying. This however is outside to Scheme as match funding has to be | heme is to ping of cash-flow in the cash-flow in the cash-flow in the cash of | romote n the om the |
| • | Other, Please specify | Conversely to the point above, there the scheme being over subscribed available. There is scope for move budgets between Council areas sho | for the limited ment of unall | d budget ocated |

Stakeholders

The internal and external (actual or potential) that the policy will be impacted upon

| Yes | No |
|---------------------|---------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Businesses (Private | Sector) |
| | |

Others policies with a bearing on this policy

| Policies | Owners |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Mid Ulster District Council's Community Plan (2015) Mid Ulster District Council's Economic Development Plan (2015) Mid Ulster District Council's Procurement Policy (2017) | Mid Ulster District Council |
| Mid Ulster District Council's Marketing and Communications Plan (2017) and Accessible Communications Policy (2019) | |
| Northern Ireland Executive Recovery Strategy | NI Executive |
| DAERA - Tackling Rural Poverty & Social Isolation – A New Framework | DAERA |

Available evidence

Information and available evidence (qualitative and quantitative) gathered to inform the policy under each of the Section 75 groups as identified within the Northern Ireland Act 1998. [Add information and evidence from other sources, eg, research, survey findings, service user feedback, consultation feedback, review findings, etc]

| Section 75 category | Details of evidence/information | | | | |
|---------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Religious belief | 63.77% of the population in Mid Ulster were broad 33.46% belong or were brought up in a Protesta Christian related) religion. Other religions comp (2.28%) of the population (Source: 2011 Census | nt and Other Chirised 690 (0.5%) | ristian (inc | | |
| | Religion or Religion brought up in | No. | % | | |
| | Catholic | 88,375 | 63.77 | | |
| | Protestant and Other Christian (including Christian related) | 46,372 | 33.46 | | |
| | Other religions | 690 | 0.5 | | |
| | None | 3,153 | 2.28 | | |
| | | 138,590 | | | |

Political opinion

Political party representation can be used as an approximate barometer of political opinion of people within Mid Ulster council area. The table below shows the results from the May 2019 local government/council elections - percentage 1st preference vote share for each political party and current representation (seats) on Council. (Source: Electoral Office):

| Party | Votes | Percentage | Council Seats |
|----------------|--------|------------|---------------|
| SF | 23,553 | 39.8% | 17 |
| DUP | 13,700 | 23.2% | 9 |
| SDLP | 8,512 | 14.4% | 5 |
| UUP | 8,021 | 13.6% | 6 |
| Independent | 3,422 | 5.8% | 2 |
| Aontu* | 846 | 1.4% | 1 |
| Alliance | 729 | 1.2% | |
| TUV | 230 | 0.4% | |
| Workers' Party | 95 | 0.2% | |

*A councillor who was elected as a representative of the SDLP resigned from that party and aligned with Aontu shortly after the 2019 local government elections

There is no regional or local data available regarding the breakdown of businesses in the categories above

Racial group

According to the 2011 Census the overwhelming majority of the population 136,485 (98.48%) were classified as 'white'. Within this total will be migrant communities, such as Polish, Lithuanian and so forth. Statistics indicate that the number of people in Mid Ulster Local Government District (LGD) born outside Northern Ireland is:

| Place of Birth | No. |
|---|-------|
| Great Britain | 4,053 |
| Republic of Ireland | 2,250 |
| EU Countries (Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, | 6,795 |
| Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia) | |
| Other | 2,280 |

The minority ethnic language profile within the area can serve as a possible indicator of the Black & Minority Ethnic (BME) community profile within the district. The composition of language groups in Mid Ulster LGD area is also noted from the 2011 census by NISRA as:

| Main Languages of residents in Mid Ulster Council area | No. |
|--|---------|
| English | 125,715 |
| Polish | 2,008 |
| Lithuanian | 2,039 |
| Portuguese | 903 |
| Irish (Gaelic) | 404 |
| Slovak | 477 |
| Russian | 297 |
| Latvia | 261 |
| Hungarian | 117 |
| Chinese | 64 |
| Tagatog/Filipino | 38 |

| | Malaysian | | | | 33 | 3 | |
|-------------------|---|---|----------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|
| | Other | ther 922 | | | | 2 | |
| | There is no regional or local data available regarding the breakdown of bu | | | | | | |
| | the categories above. | able regard | iing th | ie brea | kaown a | it busin | esse |
| Age | The age profile of Mid Ulster Local Go NISRA) | vernment D | District | area a | as at 201 | 5 (S o. | ırce, |
| | | Mid Uist | er | North | ern Irela | ınd | |
| | Total Population | 144,002 | | 1,851, | 621 | | |
| | 0-15 years | 33,123 | \dashv | 385,20 | 00 | | |
| | 16-39 years | 47,646 | | 583,1 | 16 | | |
| | 40-64 years | 43,621 | | 591,48 | 31 | | |
| | 65+ years | 19,612 291,824 | | | | | |
| | | ulation Change % (2005-2015) 15.3% 7.2% | | | | | |
| | Population Change % (2005-2015) | 15.3% | | 7.2% | | | |
| | Population Change % (2005-2015) | 15.3% | | 7.2% | | | |
| | There is no regional or local data avail | able regard | ling th | | kdown o | of those | } |
| | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie | able regard s above. | | ie brea | | | |
| | There is no regional or local data avail | able regard s above. atus profile | | ie brea | | | |
| Marital status | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie The below table sets out the martial st | able regard s above. atus profile Census | | ne brea | | t Coun | icil ai |
| | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie The below table sets out the martial st | able regard s above. atus profile Census | for M | ne brea | er Districe Northe | rn Irel | icil ai |
| | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie The below table sets out the martial st as extracted from results of the 2011 (Single (never married or never | able regards above. atus profile Census | for M | ne brea | er Distric | rn Irel | cil a |
| | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie The below table sets out the martial st as extracted from results of the 2011 (| able regards above. atus profile Census Mid No. | for M | ne brea | er Districe Northe | rn Irel | cil a |
| | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie The below table sets out the martial st as extracted from results of the 2011 (Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) | able regards above. atus profile Census Mid No. 38,353 | Ulste | ne brea id Ulsto er % | Northe No 517,393 | rn Irel 36.14 | cil a |
| | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie The below table sets out the martial st as extracted from results of the 2011 (Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil | able regards above. atus profile Census Mid No. | for M | ne brea id Ulsto er % | er Districe Northe | rn Irel 36.14 | cil a |
| | There is no regional or local data avail managing businesses in the categorie The below table sets out the martial st as extracted from results of the 2011 (Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) | able regards above. atus profile Census Mid No. 38,353 | Ulste | id Ulsto | Northe No 517,393 | rn Irel 36.14 | cil a |

| Single (never married or never registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) Married (Aged 16+) Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+) | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|-------|---------|-------|
| registered a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) Married (Aged 16+) 54,192 50.82 680,831 47.56 In a registered same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+) Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a 6,523 6.12 97,088 6.78 | | No. | % | No | % |
| In a registered same sex civil partnership (Aged 16+) Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+) Vidowed or surviving partner from a 6,523 6.12 97,088 6.78 | registered a same sex civil | 38,353 | 35.97 | 517,393 | 36.14 |
| partnership (Aged 16+) Separated (but is still legally married or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a 6,523 6.12 97,088 6.78 | Married (Aged 16+) | 54,192 | 50.82 | 680,831 | 47.56 |
| or still legally in a same sex civil partnership) (Aged 16+) Divorced or formerly in a same sex civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a 6,523 6.12 97,088 6.78 | | 62 | 0.06 | 1,243 | 0.09 |
| civil partnership which is now legally dissolved (Aged 16+) Widowed or surviving partner from a 6,523 6.12 97,088 6.78 | or still legally in a same sex civil | 3,369 | 3.16 | 56,911 | 3.98 |
| | civil partnership which is now legally | 4,139 | 3.88 | 78,074 | 5.45 |
| | | 6,523 | 6.12 | 97,088 | 6.78 |

There is no regional or local data available regarding the breakdown of those managing businesses in the categories above.

Sexual orientation

No specific statistics are available from the 2011 government census for this Category and there are therefore no official statistics available in relation to persons of different sexual orientation. However, the Integrated Household Survey would include between 3% and 4% would be either gay, lesbian and/or bisexual. However, due to the nature of 'disclosure' in this area, umbrella organisations often state that the figure may be closer to 10%.

| Region | Heterosexual / Straight | Gay/ Lesbian | Bisexual | Gay/ Lesbian/ Bisexual | Other | Don't know /refuse | No response |
|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------|
| England | 92.54% | 1.10% | 0.51% | 1.61% | 0.33% | 4.07% | 1.45% |
| Wales | 93.93% | 1.04% | 0.48% | 1.52% | 0.45% | 2.99% | 1.11% |
| Scotland | 94.65% | 0.82% | 0.33% | 1.14% | 0.26% | 2.59% | 1.37% |
| N Ireland | 93.00% | 0.64% | 0.96% | 1.60% | 0.26% | 3.98% | 1.17% |
| Total | 92.80% | 1.06% | 0.51% | 1.57% | 0.32% | 3.89% | 1.42% |

Research also conducted by the HM Treasury shows that between 5%-7% of the UK population identify themselves as gay, lesbian, bisexual or 'trans' (transsexual, transgender and transvestite) (LGBT).

There is no regional or local data available regarding the breakdown of those managing businesses in the categories above

Men & women generally

The gender profile of Mid Ulster LGD is detailed as;

| 100 mar 1 may 1 | Mic | Uister | Northern | n Ireland |
|-----------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|
| | No. | % | No. | % |
| Male | 69,362 | 50.05 | 887,323 | 49.00 |
| Female | 69,228 | 49.95 | 923,540 | 51.00 |

The Programme will benefit both females and males in the delivery of the grants programme.

Disability

According to the 2011 NISRA census statistics 19.39% of people had a long-term health problem or disability that limited their day-to-day activities whilst 80.43% of people within the district stated their general health was either good or very good

| | M | Mid Ulster | | Ireland | |
|---|---------|------------|-----------|---------|--|
| | No. | % | No. | % | |
| Disability / long term health | 26,870 | 19.39 | 374,646 | 20.69 | |
| No disability / long term health problem | 111,720 | 80.61 | 1,436,217 | 79.31 | |

In Northern Ireland the profile of persons with a disability has been reported by Disability Action as;

- More than 1 in 5 or 21% of the population have a disability
- 1 in 7 people have some form of hearing loss

| ١ | • | 5,000 persons use sign language - British Sign Language and/or Irish Sign |
|---|---|---|
| | | Language |

- There are 57,000 blind persons or persons with significant impairment
- 52,000 persons with learning difficulties

Dependants

Persons with dependents may be people who have personal responsibility for the care of a child (or children), a person with a disability, and/ or a dependent older person. The below table provides a summary with respect Mid Ulster LGD.

| | Mid | Ulster | Northe | rn Ireland |
|--|--------|--------|---------|------------|
| | No. | % | No. | % |
| Households with dependent children | 18,626 | 38.99 | 238,094 | 33.86 |
| Lone parent households with dependents | 3,485 | 7.30 | 63,921 | 9.09 |
| People providing unpaid care | 12,821 | 10.69 | 231,980 | 11.82 |

Of the households in Mid Ulster Local Government District with dependent children, they can be summarised as;

- 7,407 families in households have 1 dependent child
- 6,394 families in households with two dependent children
- 5,014 families in households with three dependent children

There are 37,306 dependent children within families.

Rural Businesses operating in Mid Ulster

The table below evidences the concentration of rural micro businesses operating in rural areas within the District. These businesses provide employment and generate wages within the rural economy. They have been adversely impacted by COVID and less likely to have cash reserves needed to steer through the current pandemic which justifies the targeting of business recovery support to this sector.

Number of VAT and/or PAYE Registered Businesses Operating in Rural Areas vs All of Northern Ireland by Employee Size Band
Mar-18

| 14101-20 | | | | | | _ | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------|--|
| | | Rural B | usinesses | | | All Bu | isinesses | | |
| District Council Area | Micro 0-9 | 5mall 10-49 | Medium / Large 50+ | Total | Micro 0-9 | 5mall 10-49 | Medium / Large 50+ | Total | Micro Rural as % of All Businesses |
| Antrim and Newtownabbey | 1,775 | 155 | 40 | 1,975 | 3,520 | 450 | 135 | 4,105 | 43% |
| Ards and North Down | 1,720 | 110 | 15 | 1,845 | 4,150 | 445 | 75 | 4,670 | 37% |
| Armagh City, Banbridge and Craigavon | 5,560 | 275 | 60 | 5,900 | 7,760 | 640 | 155 | 8,555 | 65% |
| Belfast | 70 | 15 | • | 90 | 8,385 | 1,630 | 550 | 10,560 | 1% |
| Causeway Coast and Glens | 4,090 | 215 | 35 | 4,340 | 5,480 | 415 | 85 | 5,980 | 68% |
| Derry City and Strabane | 2,700 | 170 | 25 | 2,895 | 4,560 | 465 | 95 | 5,120 | 53% |
| Fermanagh and Omagh | 6,555 | 235 | 35 | 6,825 | 7,580 | 405 | 75 | 8,055 | 81% |
| Lisburn and Castlereagh | 2,405 | 180 | 35 | 2,625 | 4,140 | 495 | 120 | 4,755 | 51% |
| Mid and East Antrim | 2,865 | 150 | 15 | 3,030 | 4,395 | 410 | 70 | 4,875 | 59% |
| Mid Ulster | 6,905 | 380 | 85 | 7,370 | 8,155 | 575 | 135 | 8,865 | 78% |
| Newry, Mourne and Down | 5,770 | 310 | 30 | 6,110 | 7,800 | 620 | 105 | 8,520 | 68% |
| Total | 40,415 | 2,195 | 375 | 43,005 | 65,925 | 6,550 | 1,600 | 74,060 | 55% |

Source: Inter-Departmental Business Register

Notes

Figures have been rounded to the nearest 5 and counts between 1 and 4 have been suppressed, thus may not sum to totals. Rural businesses have been defined using the Urban/Rural split of settlements based on postcodes from the Central Postcode Directory (CPO). Settlements of less than 5,000 are

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

| Section 75 category | Details of needs/experiences/priorities |
|---------------------|---|
| Religious belief | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations and generate economic growth as we enter the recovery phase of Covid 19 for the benefit of everyone irrespective of their religious beliefs. |
| | There is no evidence of particular needs, issues or barriers for people of different religions. |
| Political opinion | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations and generate economic growth as we enter the recovery phase of Covid 19 for the benefit of everyone irrespective of their religious beliefs. |
| | The Programme will be promoted widely to all sections of the community so there should be no barriers to take up based on political opinion. |

| Racial group | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations and generate economic growth as we enter the recovery phase of Covid 19 for the benefit of everyone irrespective of racial group. Details of the scheme can be translated upon request for whom English is not their first language. |
|----------------------------|---|
| Age | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations. Applicants to the Scheme must by law be over the age of 18. No other age related restrictions apply. |
| Marital status | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations, and provide a service for the benefit of everyone irrespective of marital status. |
| Sexual orientation | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations, and provide a service for the benefit of everyone irrespective of sexual orientation. |
| Men and women generally | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations, and generate economic growth as we enter recovery phase for Covid 19 for the benefit of everyone irrespective if they are male or female. Applications will be open to male and female. |
| Disability | The project is intended to assist rural micro sector businesses in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations and generate economic growth as we enter the recovery phase of Covid 19 for the benefit of everyone irrespective of their status within this Section 75 category. |
| Dependants | The project is intended to assist commercial premises in adhering to Covid 19 Government Regulations and generate economic growth as we enter the recovery phase of Covid 19 for the benefit of everyone irrespective if they have dependents. |

Section 2 - Screening Questions

In making a decision as to carry out an Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA), the Council should consider its answers to the questions 1-3 detailed below.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity categories, then the Council may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity, the Council should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

If the Council's conclusion is <u>minor</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- Potential equality impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;

- Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity.

In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity for people within the equality categories.

Screening questions

| 1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories (minor/ major/ none) | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Section 75 category | Details of policy impact | Level of impact? minor/major/none | |
| Religious belief | The scheme is intended to aid business recovery within the rural micro business sector to sustain staffing levels and promote business growth for the benefit of everyone irrespective of their religious beliefs. | None | |
| Political opinion | The scheme is intended to aid business recovery within the rural micro business sector to sustain staffing levels and promote business growth for the benefit of everyone. | None | |
| Racial group | The scheme is intended to aid business recovery within the rural micro business sector to sustain staffing levels and promote business growth for the benefit of everyone irrespective of their racial group. | None | |
| Age | The scheme is intended to aid business recovery within the rural micro business sector to sustain staffing levels and promote business growth for the benefit of everyone irrespective of age. | None | |

| Marital status | The scheme is intended to aid business recovery within the rural micro business sector to sustain staffing levels and promote business growth for the benefit of everyone irrespective of their marital status. | None |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Sexual orientation | The scheme is intended to aid business recovery within the rural micro business sector to sustain staffing levels and promote business growth for the benefit of everyone irrespective of their sexual orientation. | None |
| Men and women generally | The scheme will encourage businesses to invest in their commercial premises to assist with compliance of Covid 19 Government Regulations, which in turn will continue to deliver a service to local communities. | Minor- Positive |
| Disability | The scheme will encourage businesses to invest in their commercial premises to adhere to Covid 19 Government Guidance, which in turn will continue to deliver a service to local communities. | Minor- Positive |
| Dependants | The scheme will be a positive to those with dependents by aiding business recovery which will help sustain employment and household wages within the rural economy. | Minor- Positive |

| | on 75 equality categories? (Yes | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Section 75 category | If Yes, provide details | If No , provide reasons |
| Religious belief | | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of religious belief. |

| Political opinion | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of political opinion. |
|--------------------|---|
| Racial group | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of racial group. |
| Age | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of age |
| Marital status | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of marital status. |
| Sexual orientation | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of sexual orientation. |

| Men and women generally | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of gender. |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Disability | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of disability. |
| Dependants | No, this scheme will be openly targeted at all rural businesses (outside of mainstream agriculture) to assist with business recovery efforts following COVID 19 irrespective of s75 grouping. |

| 3. Are there opportunities without prejudice, to the equality of opportunity duty, to better promote good relations between Section 75 equality categories, through tackling prejudice and/ or promoting understanding? (Yes/ No) | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----|--|
| | No | X | |
| | Yes | | |
| If yes, please detail the opportunities below: | | | |
| The Scheme has no direct aims associated with promo | tion of good relation | าร | |

If yes is concluded to Question 3, then the policy will be referred to the Council's Good Relations Working Group for consideration. The Group will consider the potential opportunities and assess if and how the overall impact of a decision/policy can better promote good relations.

Additional Considerations - Multiple identity

| Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities? (For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people). |
|---|
| N/A |
| Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned. |
| N/A |
| |

Section 3 – Screening Decision

In light of answers provided to the questions within Section 3 select one of the following with regards the policy:

| | | Select One |
|---|--|---------------|
| 1 | Shall not be subject to an EQIA - with no mitigating measures required | X |
| 2 | Shall not be subject to an EQIA - mitigating measures/ alternative policies introduced | |
| 3 | Shall be subject to an EQIA | |

| If 1 or 2 above (i.e. not to be subject to an | EQIA) please provide details of reasons |
|---|---|
| why. | |
| | |

No negative impacts identified

| If 2 above (i.e. not to subject to an to the policy be mitigated or an alte | EQIA) in what ways can adverse impacts attaching the policy be introduced. |
|---|--|
| to the policy be militigated of all alte | ariative policy be introduced. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| If 3 above (i.e. shall be subject to a | n EQIA), please provide details of the reasons. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Mitigation

When it is concluded that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, you may consider mitigation to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity.

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy:

Mitigation will not be required because a minor positive has been identified.

Timetabling and prioritising

If the policy has been screened in for equality impact assessment, please answer the below to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

• On a scale of 1-3 (1 being lowest priority and 3 being highest), assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

| Priority criterion | Rating (1-3) |
|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Effect on equality of opportunity | |
| Social need | |
| Effect on people's daily lives | |
| Relevance to a Council's functions | |

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the Council in timetabling. Details of the Council's Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the Screening Reports.

• Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

| Yes | |
|-----|---|
| No | X |

Section 5 – Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the Council to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development. Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

This Scheme is funded through DAERA who will issue a S75 monitoring return to each successful applicant in line with policy. This will be carried out on a NI wide basis across all local authorities participating in this Scheme, therefore it may not be possible to obtain equality monitoring result specific to Mid Ulster participants.

Section 6 – Approval and authorisation

| Screened by: | Position/ Job Title | Date | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Eamon Gallogly | Rural Development Manager | 17/07/2020 | |
| Approved by: | Position/ Job Title | Date | |
| Adrian McCreesh | Director of Business & Communities | 20.07.2020 | |

Note: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy; made easily accessible on the council website as soon as possible following completion and be available on request.





